Polymeric Dicarbonyl Ruthenium(I) Acetate - An Efficient Catalyst for Alkene Cyclopropanation with Diazoacetates

Gerhard Maas*, Thorsten Werle, Mechthild Alt, Dieter Mayer

Fachbereich Chemie, Universität Kaiserslautern, Erwin-Schrödinger-Straße D-6750 Kaiserslautern, Germany

(Received in Germany 12 October 1992)

Abstract The polymeric complex $[Ru_2(CO)_4(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]_n$ is the first Ru(I) catalyst that efficiently catalyzes cyclopropanation of alkenes with diazoacetic esters. The related dinuclear catalyst $Ru_2(CO)_4(\mu\text{-OAc})_2(MeCN)_2$ shows a similar performance only for cyclopropanation of monosubstituted alkenes.

For the cyclopropanation of alkenes with diazo compounds, a variety of highly efficient copper-palladium-, and rhodium-based catalysts are available 1 . The catalytic process is believed to involve metal-carbene intermediates that are responsible for the chemo- and stereoselectivity observed in these reactions $^{2-6}$. Although the available catalysts, especially the rhodium(II) carboxylates and copper(I) triflate, have proven their value in many instances, the search for alternative catalysts goes on Recently, ruthenium(II) carboxylates 7,8 and ruthenacarboranes 9 have been introduced as efficient cyclopropanation catalysts, both of which are superior to the Ru(II,III) complex Ru₂(OAc)₄Cl investigated earlier 2 Ru₃(CO)₁₂ has been reported to catalyze cyclopropanation of an electron-rich alkene (n-butyl vinyl ether) 10 , but has not been evaluated further for other alkenes

We wish to introduce now the polymeric complex $[Ru_2(CO_4)(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]_n$ (1)¹¹ and the dinuclear bis(acetonitrile) complex $Ru_2(CO)_4(\mu\text{-OAc})_2(MeCN)_2$ (2)¹¹ as the first ruthenium(I) complexes that exhibit a remarkable catalytic activity for alkene cyclopropanation with diazoacetates. In contrast to 1, the complex 2 has a good solubility in the alkenes investigated, it also catalyzes efficiently cyclopropanation of monosubstituted alkenes, but less so of more highly alkyl-substituted alkenes.

882 G MAAS et al

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of cyclopropanes 3 from methyl diazoacetate (MDA) and the appropriate alkene with 1 as catalyst succeeds at room temperature (Table 1) Typically, 1 mol-% of catalyst is applied, but with styrene and MDA, even smaller amounts of 1 were found to be sufficient (0 3 mol-%: overall reaction time 48 h, 87 % yield, 0 5 mol-% 16 h, 95%). The formal carbene dimers, dimethyl maleate and fumarate, constitute by-products in these reactions. Their formation can be greatly reduced by keeping the diazoester concentration very low (high dilution and slow addition to the alkene / catalyst mixture).

$$R^{1}$$
 $C = C$ R^{2} R^{2} R^{2} R^{3} R^{4} R^{3

Complex 1 is a yellow solid that initially does not dissolve in the reaction mixtures, but disappears partly or completely during the course of the reactions. In order to provide entirely homogeneous reaction conditions, we used catalyst 2 which is well soluble in most of the alkenes used. Disappointingly, the cyclopropane yields were consistently lower by ca 35% than those obtained with 1 as catalyst under analogous conditions at 20 °C (Table 1, entries 2,6). At elevated temperature, however, monosubstituted alkenes (styrene, ethyl vinyl ether) are again cyclopropanated in high yield, whereas no improvement was observed for cyclopropanation of 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene. The low yield in the latter case may be caused by a destruction of the active catalyst. Mixing of diazoester, catalyst, and alkene for entry 12 produces a black-colored solution that subsequently turns pale-yellow with concomitant formation of a brown-red precipitate. In contrast, the solutions remain yellow and homogeneous throughout the reaction for entries 3 and 7

Thus, catalyst 2 cannot compete with 1 in terms of general efficiency for alkene cyclopropanation, despite of its good solubility in the liquid alkenes. This seems to contradict general experience, but it should be taken into account that neither 1 nor 2 are likely to be the active catalysts since the ruthenium atoms are coordinatively saturated in both cases ¹². In order to generate a metal carbene intermediate that is generally assumed to be involved in these carbenoid transformations ¹, ligand exchange must take place. Introduction of a carbene ligand at ruthenium is likely to break up the polymeric structure of 1 (which would explain why insoluble 1 is gradually consumed during the reactions), whereas it occurs by displacement of a weakly-bound acetonitrile ligand in 2

The yields of cyclopropanes 3 resulting from monosubstituted or 1,1-disubstituted alkenes and 1 or 2 (at elevated temperature) (Table 1, entries 1,3,4,5,7,10) compare well with those obtained in the reactions catalyzed by $Rh_2(OAc)_4^{2,3,5}$, but are somewhat lower for the cyclopropanes derived from the 1,2-disubstituted and tetrasubstituted alkenes (entries 8,9,11) The stereoselectivities of carbene transfer to styrene, 1-hexene, and cyclohexene resemble those obtained in the $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ -catalyzed reactions In the case of ethyl vinyl ether and 2,3-dihydrofuran, catalysis by 1 remarkably increases the diastereomer excess of the sterically more favored (E)-cyclopropane, which may be attributed at least in part to a fast epimerization and ring-opening isomerization of (Z)-3 (syn-3) by the catalyst 13 On the other hand, the cis-selectivity observed for cyclopropanation of α -methylstyrene (entry 10) contrasts with E/Z ratios of 15 and 0.98 in the reactions catalyzed by $Cu(acac)_2^{14}$ and $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (ethyl diazoacetate instead of MDA)5, respectively The stereochemical assignments rest upon the interpretation of the 1H NMR

spectra as given in the literature $^{14-16}$. The assignments are corroborated further by the 13 C NMR spectra (Table 2). Most significant is the mutual shielding of the carbon atoms that are attached to the cyclopropane ring and belong to vicinal cis-positioned substituents, certainly a consequence of the well-precedented γ -effect. As a consequence of this effect, the carbonyl signal of the major isomer (E in 1,2-disubstituted cyclopropanes and anti in the bicyclo[n.10]alkanes) is consistently found at lower field as compared to the minor isomer

Table 1.	Formation of Cyclopropanes 3 from	Alkenes and Methyl	Diazoacetate (MDA)
	Catalyzed by 1 or 2		

Entry	R ¹	R ²	R ³	R ⁴	Catalyst/ Method ^a	Reaction Time [h] ^b	Temp.	Yield of 3 [%] ^C	E-3/Z-3 d,e
1	Ph	н	Н	н	1/A	12/4	20	95	1.6
2					2/A	8/12	20	58	2.3
3					2/B	5/2	60	94	1.5
4	Bu	H	Н	H	1/A	12/4	20	67	2.0
5	EtO	H	Н	Н	1/A	12/4	20	89	4.5
6					2/A	8/36	20	54	11.9
7					2/B	5/2	36	83	5.4
8	- (CH	[2]4-	- H	Н	1/A	12/4	20	68	3.7
9	- (CH			Н	1/A	12/4	20	62 [£]	>97:<3
10	Ph	H	Me	H	1/A	12/4	20	91	0.67
11	Me	Мe	Me	Me	1/A	12/4	20	479	_
12					2/B	5/2	60	12 ^h	-

a Method A MDA (20 mmol), diluted in alkene and CH₂Cl₂, is added over 8-12 h to a suspension of the catalyst (02 mmol) in excess alkene and CH₂Cl₂ - Method B· MDA (20 mmol), diluted in alkene, is added over 5 h to a solution of catalyst (02 mmol) in excess alkene kept at the indicated temperature For further details, see Experimental Section

Carbene transfer to isoprene with MDA/1 yields the cyclopropanes 4 (56%, E/Z = 0.92) and 5 (36%, E/Z = 2.5) The preference for the more electron-rich 1,1-disubstituted double bond of the diene has been observed before for rhodium- and copper-catalyzed reactions 17,18 In fact, for the cyclopropanation catalyzed by 1 and by Rh₂(OAc)₄, the yields of 4 and 5, the regionselectivity (4/5) and the stereoselectivity for 4 (E/Z ratios of 0.97.18 and 1.13 have been reported) are nearly identical. Only the ratio E-5/Z-5 is higher than with any other catalyst used before

b Time of addition / subsequent time for complete decomposition of MDA.

^c Yield of distilled cyclopropanes 3. Dimethyl maleate and dimethyl fumarate were observed as byproducts

d Anti/syn for entries 8 and 9

e Determined by ¹H NMR (400 MHz)

f Mixture of anti-3 and (2,3-dihydro-4-furyl)acetate, ratio 9 1

g Dimethyl maleate and fumarate were isolated in 36 and 15 % yield, resp

h Dimethyl maleate and fumarate were isolated in 57 and 30 % yield, resp

884 G MAAS et al

Table 2. ¹³C NMR Data of Cyclopropanes 3 (solvent CDCl₃, δ values in ppm)^a

R ¹	R ²	R ³	R ⁴	Iso- mer	СНСО	CR ¹ R ³	CR ² R ⁴	OMe	со	Other Signals
Ph	н	н	Н	z	25.3	23.7	11.0	51.04	171.0	136.2 (i-Ph)
ł				E	26.0	21.4	16.7	51.52	173.4	139.7 (i-Ph)
Bu	Н	н	Н	$_{oldsymbol{z}^{\mathbf{b}}}^{oldsymbol{E}}$	21.7	17.7	13.2	51.06	173.1	13.7 (Me); 22.1,
				_E b	22.7	19.7	15.2	51.17	174.6	26.4, 32.5 (CH ₂) 13.7 (Me); 22.1, 31.1, 31.6 (CH ₂)
-(CI	H ₂) ₄ -	H	H	syn	21.6	16.2	16.8	50.64	171.9	18.2, 20.9 (CH ₂)
				anti	25.2	21.8	21.8	51.04	174.8	20.7, 22.5 (CH ₂)
Me	Me	Me	Me		35.4	29.9	29.9	50.6	172.3	16.4, 23.3 (Me)

^a Data for the remaining cyclopropanes of Table 1 have already been reported ¹⁴

We have also checked the ability of 1 to catalyze alkene cyclopropanation with methyl diazo-(trimethylsilyl)acetate 6 The diazo carbon atom of 6 is both sterically shielded and rendered less nucleophilic than in MDA by the SiMe₃ substituent Thus, diazoester 6 provides a further test for the performance of 1 as a carbon transfer catalyst

Diazoester 6 is only decomposed at elevated temperatures (60-80 $^{\circ}$ C), as expected, but cyclopropanes 7 are still obtained in good yield (Table 3). Two other catalysts which decompose 6 already at 20 $^{\circ}$ C, Cu(I) triflate and Rh(II) perfluorobutyrate, also produce cyclopropanes 7 from styrene and 1-hexene, though in somewhat lower yield 19 . In contrast to 1, however, they furnish no cyclopropane with cyclohexene, but only the product of carbene insertion into an allylic C-H bond. This result underlines the excellent cyclopropanation activity of our new ruthenium(I) catalyst 1. The cyclopropanation of 1-hexene was accompanied by a small amount of the metathesis product BuCH = C(SiMe₃)COOMe. The low yield and the failure to detect similar olefins in the other cases indicate that 1, in contrast to Ru₂(OAc)₄⁷, is not an effective metathesis catalyst under the given reaction conditions

According to the diastereomer ratio for 7a-c, the sterically favored isomer prevails, i.e. the trimethylsilyl group occupies the less substituted side of the cyclopropane ring. The stereochemical assignment is based on ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR criteria. For 7a, the phenyl ring causes the expected high-field shift of the cis-SiMe $_{3}$ and cis-COOMe proton signals as compared to the same groups in *trans* position. For 7b and

b Assignments are based on *J*-modulated spin-echo experiments

7c, the protons of SiMe₃ and COOMe are slightly deshielded, when the neighboring alkyl groups are in cis position²⁰ In the ¹³C NMR spectra, the C=O signal is shifted to higher field when the alkyl and ester groups are cis to each other, again, this is in agreement with the γ -syn-effect mentioned above.

$$R^{1}$$
 $C = C + N_{2} = C$ $COOMe$ R^{2} $COOMe$ R^{1} R^{2} $COOMe$ R^{2} R^{2}

Table 3 Cyclopropanation of Alkenes with Methyl Diazo(trimethylsilyl)acetate (6) Catalyzed by 1

Cyclo- propane	R ¹	R ²	Reaction temp. [OC]	Yield of [%]	7 E/Z ^b (ant1/syn)
7a	Ph	Н	70	70	1.8
7b	Bu	H	63	89 ^C	3.4
7c	- (CI	H ₂) ₄ -	83	54	7.0

^a Yield of isolated products

The electrophilic nature of the metal-carbene intermediate derived from 1 and MDA becomes obvious from experiments to cyclopropanate benzene and anisole. Decomposition of MDA in an excess of benzene catalyzed by 1 (1 mol-%) furnished only the formal carbene dimers, dimethyl fumarate and maleate, whereas carbene transfer to the more electron-rich anisole [slow addition of MDA to an excess of anisole containing 1 (1 mol-%), 20 $^{\circ}$ C] was more successful Besides the carbene dimers (62%), two of the three regionsomeric methoxycycloheptatriene-7-carboxylates, 8 and 9 (total yield 24%, 8/9 = 12.5), as well as (2-methoxyphenyl)- and (4-methoxyphenyl)acetates 10 (3%) and 11 (3%) were obtained after column chromatography A comparison with Rh(II) carboxylate catalysts 1,21 is instructive. Rh₂(OAc)₄ is quite inefficient in promoting alkoxycarbonylcarbene transfer to benzene, whereas the more electrophilic Rh₂(O₂CCF₃)₄ is the catalyst of choice for acylcarbene transfer to aromatic molecules. This comparison shows again the similarity between catalyst 1 and Rh₂(OAc)₄. The regioselectivity observed in the anisole reaction catalyzed by 1, on the other hand, is strongly different from that reported for Rh₂(O₂CCF₃)₄ as catalyst, where the 3-, 1-, and 2-methoxy derivatives of methyl 1,3,5-cycloheptatriene-7-carboxylate have been obtained in yields of 56, 29, and 8%, respectively²¹

^bDetermined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (200 MHz).

^c The cyclopropane was accompanied by a small amount of BuCH=C(SiMe₃)COOMe (5% yield, 2 isomers, ratio 3 2.1).

886 G Maas et al

OMe
$$+ N_2 = CH - COOMe$$

$$-N_2$$

$$+ C = C_2^H + OMe$$

$$+ CH_2 - COOMe$$

In summary, we have described the first efficient Ru(I) catalyst for alkene cyclopropanation with diazoesters. The catalyst 1 is easily accessible \$^{11,22}\$ and easy-to-handle. Cyclopropanation succeeds not only with methyl diazoacetate, but also with sterically shielded disubstituted methyl diazo(trimethylsilyl)-acetate. In terms of electrophilicity, efficiency, regio- and (partly) stereoselectivity, 1 compares remarkably well with Rh2(OAc)4. A comparison of current prizes of ruthenium and rhodium derivatives also recommends 1 as a distinctly less costly alternative

Experimental

General information NMR spectra Bruker WP 200 (1 H. 200 MHz, 13 C 50 3 MHz) and Bruker AM 400 (1 H 400 MHz; 13 C 100 6 MHz). All spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ with TMS as internal standard IR spectra Perkin-Elmer IR 397, wavenumbers [cm⁻¹] are given. Elemental analyses Perkin-Elmer EA 2400 [Ru₂(CO)₄(μ -OAc)₂]_n (1) and Ru₂(CO)₄(μ -OAc)₂(MeCN)₂ (2) were prepared by literature methods 11 All cyclopropanation reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere.

Cyclopropanation of alkenes with methyl diazoacetate catalyzed by 1 or 2; general procedure Alkenes were generally purified by distillation prior to use A solution of methyl diazoacetate (20 mmol) in alkene (20 mmol) and dichloromethane (50 ml) is added at 20 $^{\circ}$ C during 8-12 h via an automatic pump to a stirred mixture of alkene (180 mmol) and dichloromethane (20 ml) containing the catalyst [1 (0 086 g, 0 2 mmol) or 2 (0 103 g, 0.2 mmol)] Stirring is continued until the evolution of N_2 has ceased (4-36 h, see Table 1), and the solvent and excess alkene are removed by distillation at ambient pressure (except for styrene and α -methylstyrene which were removed by column chromatography on silica gel, eluant low-boiling petroleum ether) Cyclopropanes 3 are isolated by column chromatography [80 g of silica gel, eluant pentane/ether, 5 1] as the first fraction (followed by dimethyl fumarate and dimethyl maleate) and purified further by distillation Diastereomeric mixtures could not be separated in this manner For yields and diastereomer ratios, see Table 1

All cyclopropanes 3 so obtained were characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra as well as by elemental analysis ¹H NMR data have been reported before in the literature ¹⁴⁻¹⁶, ¹³C NMR data are given in Table 2

Cyclopropanation of isoprene A mixture of methyl (E)- and (Z)-2-methyl-2-vinylcyclopropane-1-carboxylate (4) and methyl (E)- and (Z)-2-(1-methylvinyl)-cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (5) was obtained Assignment of the individual regioisomers and diastereomers was possible by the close similarity of the ¹H NMR data with those reported for the corresponding ethyl esters^{2,3} Isomer ratios were determined by integration of the ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz) of the mixture

Cyclopropanation of alkenes with methyl diazo(trimethylsulyl)acetate (6) catalyzed by 1; general procedure A solution of 6^{23} 0 42 g, 2 4 mmol) in the alkene (10 ml) is added over 1.5-2 h at a controlled rate to a stirred suspension of 1 (35 mg, 0.081 mmol, 3 4 mol-%) in the alkene (10 ml) kept at the temperature that is given in Table 2 Stirring is continued until evolution of N_2 has ceased (6-8 h) The mixture is filtered over neutral alumina (ca 10 g) to remove the catalyst, excess alkene is distilled off at ambient pressure, and the cyclopropane is isolated by vacuum distillation or column chromatography [Merck Lobar columns, LiChroprep Si-60, 40-63 μ m, eluant petroleum ether / ether (9-1)]

Methyl (E)-and (Z)-2-phenyl-1-trumethylsilyl-1-cyclopropanecarboxylate (7a) Chromatographic separation of the reaction mixture yields first oligomers of styrene, then cyclopropane 7a as a mixture of diastereomers (70%, E/Z ratio 1 8). - ¹H NMR (200 MHz)· Z-7a· δ = -0 18 (s, SiMe₃), 1.42 (dd, J = 6.8, 4 0 Hz, 1 H), 1 72 (dd, J = 8.8, 4 0 Hz, 1 H), 2 84 (dd, J = 8 8, 6 8 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (OMe), 7 28 (m, Ph) E-7a δ = 0 12 (SiMe₃), 1 25 (dd, J = 8 1, 4 9 Hz, 1 H), 1 96 (dd, J = 6.5, 4 9 Hz, 1 H), 2 40 (dd, J = 8.1, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.35 (OMe), 7 28 (m, Ph) - ¹³C NMR Z-7a δ = -1 2 (SiMe₃), 15.8 (C-3), 20 1 (C-1), 32 1 (C-2), 51 7 (OMe), 137 8 (ipso-Ph), 176 7 (C=O). - E-7a δ = -2 6 (SiMe₃), 14.2 (C-3), 23 5 (C-1), 28 2 (C-2), 51 2 (OMe), 137 4 (ipso-Ph), 172 7 (C=O) - IR (neat) 1710 (C=O) - Anal Calcd for C₁₄H₂₀O₂Si (248 4) C, 67 69, H, 8 11 Found C, 67 6, H, 7 9

Methyl (E)- and (Z)-2-butyl-1-trimethylsilyl-1-cyclopropanecarboxylate (7b) Chromatographic separation of the reaction mixture yields first oligomers of hexene, then cyclopropane 7b as a mixture of diastereomers (89%, E/Z ratio 34) - 1 H-NMR (400 MHz) δ = -002 (s, SiMe₃, E-isomer), 0.07 (s, SiMe₃, Z-isomer), 0.6-15 (m, all CH, CH₂, and CH₃), 358 (s, OMe, Z-isomer), 360 (s, OMe, E-isomer) - 13 C NMR Z-7b δ = -01 (SiMe₃), 177, 29 6, 30.1, 320, 1768 (C=O), the remaining signals coincide with signals of the major isomer E-7b δ = -21 (SiMe₃), 140 (Me), 160 (C-3), 182 (C-1), 224 (CH₂), 247 (C-2), 284 (CH₂), 316 (CH₂), 514 (OMe), 1746 (C=O). - IR (neat) 1725 (C=O) - Anal. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{24}O_2Si$ (2284) C, 631, H, 10.59 Found C, 629, H, 106 The cyclopropane is contaminated with a trace of methyl 2-trimethylsilyl-2-heptenoate (yield. ca 5%; two isomers, ratio 3 2 1), as evidenced by 1 H-NMR and analytical GC-MS - 1 H-NMR (400 MHz), values for the major isomer are given first δ = 008/010 (SiMe₃), 232/? (pseudo-q, CH_2 -CH=), 3.67/3.70 (OMe), 612/? (t, HC=) - Mass spectrum of major isomer (70 eV) m/z = 213 (14%) [M⁺], 197 (6), 185 (5), 169 (10), 143 (18), 89 (100)

Methyl 7-anti- and syn-trimethylsilyl-bicyclo[4 1 0]heptane-7-carboxylate (7c). After removal of excess cyclohexene, 7c is isolated by Kugelrohr distillation at 60 °C /0 04 Torr as a mixture of diastereomers (54% yield, anti/syn = 70) - 1 H NMR (200 MHz) δ = -0 13 (s, anti-SiMe₃), 0.09 (s, syn-SiMe₃), 0.7-19 (m, all CH, CH₂), 3 46 (s, syn-OMe), 3 52 (s, anti-OMe) - 13 C NMR. anti-7c δ = -3 3 (SiMe₃), 17 2 (C-1,6), 20 4 and 21 0 (C-2,3,4,5), 22 7 (C-7), 50 7 (OMe), 172 8 (C=O) syn-7c· δ = 13 (SiMe₃), 20 1 and 20 5 (C-2,3,4,5), 23 5 (C-1,6) C-7 not located, 51.2 (OMe), 177.0 (C=O) - Anal. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{22}O_2Si$ (226 4) C, 63 66, H, 9 80 Found C, 63 7, H, 9 9

Reaction of anisole with methyl diazoacetate catalyzed by 1 A solution of methyl diazoacetate (2.00 g, 20 mmol) in anisole (3 ml) is added over 20 h to a stirred suspension of 1 (0.086 g, 0.2 mmol) in anisole (12 ml) The reaction mixture is then fractionated by column chromatography on silica gel (80 g). Excess anisole is eluated first with low-boiling petroleum ether Further eluation with ether / petroleum ether (19) yields the following fractions a) A mixture of methyl 3-methoxy- and 2-methoxy-1,3,5-cycloheptatriene-7-carboxylate (8 and 9, 0.85 g, 24% yield, 8/9 = 12.5), identified by comparison of the ¹H NMR spectral data with published ²¹ values, b) dimethyl fumarate (0.33 g, 23%), c) a mixture of methyl (2-methoxyphenyl)acetate and (4-methoxyphenyl)acetate (10 and 11, 0.21 g, 6% yield, 10/11 =

888 G MAAS et al

1 1), identified by their ¹H and ¹³C NMR²⁴ spectra Further eluation with ether / petroleum ether (3.7) furnished dimethyl maleate (0.56 g, 39%).

Acknowledgment

Generous support of this work by Stiftung Volkswagenwerk is gratefully acknowledged.

References and Notes

- 1 Reviews. Doyle, M.P Chem Rev 1986, 86, 919 Maas, G Top. Curr. Chem 1987, 137, 75. Demonceau, A., Noels, A.F., Hubert, A.J. In Aspects of Homogeneous Catalysis; Ugo, R., Ed.; D Reidel Publishing Company: Dordrecht, Boston Lancaster, 1988, Vol.6, p199. Maas, G In Methoden der organischen Chemie (Houben-Weyl); Regitz, M., Ed., Thieme Stuttgart, 1989, Vol E19b, Part 2, p1022.
- 2 Anciaux, A.J., Hubert, A.J., Noels, A.F., Petiniot, N., Teyssié, P. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 695
- 3 Doyle, MP, Dorow, RL, Buhro, WE, Griffin, JH; Tamblyn, WH; Trudell, ML. Organometallics 1984, 3, 44.
- 4 Doyle, M.P., Griffin, J.H., Bagheri, V., Dorow, R.L. Organometallics 1984, 3, 53.
- 5 Demonceau, A, Noels, A.F, Hubert, A.J Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 3889
- 6 Doyle, M.P., Bagheri, V., Wandless, T.J., Harn, N.K.; Brinker, D.A., Eagle, C.T., Loh, K.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 1906
- 7 Noels, A.F; Demonceau, A, Carlier, E; Hubert, A.J, Márquez-Silva, R-L, Sánchez-Delgado, RA. J. Chem. Soc, Chem Commun 1988, 783
- 8 Demonceau, A., Noels, A.F., Saive, E., Hubert, A.J. J. Mol. Catal., in press We thank Dr. Demonceau for a preprint of this work
- 9 Demonceau, A., Saive, E, de Froidmont, Y, Noels, A.F; Hubert, AJ., Chizhevsky, IT, Lobanova, IA., Bregadze, V.I Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 2009
- 10 Tamblyn, W H.; Hoffmann, S R, Doyle, M P J. Organomet Chem 1981, 216, C64
- 11 Crooks, GR; Johnson, B.FG, Lewis, J.; Williams, IG J Chem Soc (A) 1969, 2761.
- 12 The structures of polymeric complex 1 and dinuclear complex 2 have not yet been determined, however, they should be analogous to those of closely related complexes [Ru₂(CO)₄(μ-O₂CPh)₂]_n and Ru₂(CO)₄(μ-O₂CR)₂L₂ (L=ligand) for which X-ray crystal structure analyses exist See, for example Spohn, M, Vogt, T, Strahle, J Z Naturforsch 1986, 41b, 1373 Sherlock, S.J, Cowie, M, Singleton, E, Steyn, M M de V J. Organomet Chem 1989, 361, 353 Schumann, H, Opitz, J Pickardt, J J Organomet Chem 1977, 128, 253
- 13 Doyle, MP, van Leussen, D J Org Chem 1982, 47, 5326
- 14 Reichelt, I., Reissig, H-U Chem. Ber 1983, 116, 3895.
- 15 Kawabata, N; Kamemura, I, Naka, M J Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 2139
- 16 Hubert, A.J., Noels, A.F., Anciaux, A.J., Teyssié, P Synthesis 1976, 600.
- 17 Doyle, MP, Dorow, RL, Tamblyn, WH, Buhro, W.E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2261
- 18 Anciaux, AJ, Demonceau, A, Noels, AF, Warin, R, Hubert, AJ, Teyssié, P Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 2169
- 19 G Maas and M Alt, unpublished results
- 20 For the ester resonance, the same effect has been reported for the non-sulylated analogues of 7b and 7c (H instead of SiMe₃), see ref. 14
- 21 Anciaux, A, Demonceau, A., Noels, AF, Hubert, AJ., Warin, R, Teyssié, P J Org Chem. 1981, 46, 873
- 22 James, BR., Rempel, GL Chem Ind 1971, 1036
- 23 Allspach, T, Gumbel, H, Regitz, M J Organomet Chem 1985, 290, 33
- 24 Mandava, N, Finegold, H Spectrosc Lett 1980, 13, 59